

Summary

$$X \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*) \quad \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(-1)$$

$f \in V$  viewed as a section of  $\mathcal{L}$

$$j(f) \in \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})$$

$$K_{\pm} := K_{\pm}(\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L}), j(f))$$

$$K_+ = (\Lambda^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L}), -\wedge j(f))$$

$$K_- = (\Lambda^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{L})^*, \wedge j(f))$$

with differential  $\partial_f$

Thm:  $[f] \in X^v \Leftrightarrow K_+$  is not exact

$\Leftrightarrow K_-$  is not exact

Remark: if  $K^{\bullet}$  is a complex of sheaves (of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules) and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a line bundle, then

$$K^{\bullet} \text{ is exact} \Leftrightarrow K^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} \text{ is exact}$$

ETS  $\Rightarrow$

+ exactness is a local property &  $\mathcal{L} \cong_{\text{loc}} \mathcal{O}_X$

Choose  $M$  ample. Then  $\forall F$  coherent sheaf, there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  st  $\forall i > 0$ ,  $H^i(X, F \otimes M^{\otimes n}) = 0$ .

Then  $\exists n \gg 0$  st  $\forall i > 0, \forall j, H^i(X, K^j \otimes \underbrace{M^{\otimes n}}_N) = 0$   
 ↑ provided that  $K^\bullet$  is bounded

Lemma: Let  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  a complex of sheaves st:

- a)  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is exact
- b)  $\forall i > 0, H^i(X, \mathcal{F}^j) = 0$   
 $\forall j$

Then  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$  is exact.

↑ complex of global sections

Idea of proof: Let  $\mathcal{U} = (U_\alpha)_\alpha$  be an open cover of  $X$  by affines.

Consider the complexes  $\check{C}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^j)$

$$\text{i.e. } \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^j) = \prod_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k} \Gamma(U_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k} | \mathcal{F}^j)$$

These complexes are exact for every  $j, k$ .

$$\check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$$

( $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  exact + working on affines)

Consider the total complex of the double complex  $\check{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$

1<sup>st</sup> spectral sequence:

$$E_1^{i,i} = E_1^{0,i} = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}^i)$$

(using the assumption b)

$$E_2^{i,i} = E_\infty^{i,i} = E_\infty^{0,i} = H^i(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}^i))$$

2<sup>nd</sup> spectral sequence:

$$E_1^{i,i} = 0$$

□

Consider  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_\pm)$  where  $\mathcal{F}_\pm = K_\pm \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N}$   $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}$   $n \gg 0$ .

Notation:  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_\pm) = (C_\pm^\bullet(X, \mathcal{N}), \partial_f)$

Pick a basis of  $C_\pm^\bullet(X, \mathcal{N})$ ,  $e_\pm$  (not related with  $f$ )  $\frac{\text{Frac}(V)}{K^*}$

There exists a non-zero scalar  $\det(C_\pm^\bullet(X, \mathcal{N}), \partial_f, e_\pm) =: \Delta_{X, \mathcal{N}}^\pm(f)$

→ this is a rational function on  $V$  (as a function of  $f$ )

→ change of base  $e_\pm$  multiplies this by a non-zero constant.

Caveat:  $\det(\dots)$  is associated with an exact complex with a basis.

So for  $\Delta_{X, \mathcal{N}}^\pm(f)$  to be defined, one needs to assume that  $[f] \notin X^V$

Then: Under the previous assumptions,

$$\Delta_{X, \mathcal{N}}^-(f) = \Delta_X(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{X, \mathcal{N}}^-(f) \stackrel{(-1)^{\dim(X)+1}}{=} \Delta_X(f)$$

Goal: define  $\det(\dots)$

Cone of a morphism of complexes

$f: V \rightarrow W$  morphism of complexes

$C = \text{Cone}(f)$  is defined as:

$$C^i = W^i \oplus V^{i+1}$$

$$d_C(w, v) = (d_W(w) + (-1)^{i+1} f(v), d_V(v))$$

(think of  $\begin{bmatrix} d_W & (-1)^{i+1} f \\ 0 & d_V \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w \\ v \end{bmatrix}$ )

If  $g: W \rightarrow Z$  is another morphism of complexes

and  $h$  a null homotopy for  $g \circ f$ :  $(h^i: V^i \rightarrow Z^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  s.t.

$$g \circ f(v) = h d_V(v) + d_Z h(v)$$

Then there is a factorization  $V \xrightarrow{f} W \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{Cone}(f) \\ \searrow g \\ Z \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \downarrow \tilde{g} \\ \end{matrix}$

$$\tilde{g}(w, v) = g(w) + (-1)^{|v|+1} h(v)$$

There is an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f) \rightarrow V[1] \rightarrow 0$$

## Determinants

- $W$  vector space of  $\dim n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\text{Det}(W) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \wedge^n W$
- $W$  finite dimensional graded vector space,  $\text{Det}(W) = \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Det}(W^n)^{(-1)^n}$

Obvious properties

\*  $\text{Det}$  is a functor  $(\text{Vect}^{\text{gr, f.d.}}, \text{iso}) \longrightarrow (\text{Lines}, \text{iso})$

\* multiplication by  $\lambda \in K^*$  is sent to multiplication by  $\lambda^{\chi(W)}$   
where  $\chi(W) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n \dim(W^n)$

\*  $\text{Det}(V \oplus W) = \text{Det}(V) \otimes \text{Det}(W)$

\*  $\text{Det}(W[1]) = \text{Det}(W)^*$

## Euler isomorphism

Assume that  $W^\bullet$  is a  $\underset{\text{finite dim}}{\wedge}$  complex. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Det}(W^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\text{Eu}} \text{Det}(H^\bullet(W^\bullet))$$

$\downarrow$   
seen as graded  
vector space

## Proof of this claim

Lemma: if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence then

there is a canonical isomorphism  $\text{Det}(B) \cong \text{Det}(A) \otimes \text{Det}(C)$

Proof: Choose a splitting  $C \hookrightarrow B$ , then we get

$$\text{Det}(A) \otimes \text{Det}(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Det}(B)$$

and this does not depend on the choice of splitting.  $\square$

There are two exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_i) \rightarrow W^i \rightarrow \text{Im}(d_i) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im}(d_{i-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_i) \rightarrow H^i(W) \rightarrow 0$$

By the lemma,

$$\text{Det}(W) \simeq \text{Det}(\text{Ker}(d)) \otimes \text{Det}(\text{Im}(d))$$

$$\simeq \left( \text{Det}(H^i(W)) \otimes \text{Det}(\text{Im}(d)) \right)^* \otimes \text{Det}(\text{Im}(d))$$

← due to the shift

Corollary: If  $W$  is exact, then  $\text{Det}(W) \simeq K$  canonically

Corollary:  $\text{Det}(\text{Cone}(f)) = \text{Det}(W) \otimes \text{Det}(V)^*$

From now on,  $W$  will be assumed to be exact.

Let  $e$  be a basis of  $W$ .

There is an element  $\text{Det}(e) \in \text{Det}(W) \xrightarrow{Eu} \text{Det}(H^i(W)) \simeq K$   
canon

$$\text{Det}(e) \in \text{Det}(W) \xrightarrow{Eu} \text{Det}(H^i(W)) \simeq K$$

←  $\det(W, d, e) \in K^*$



We get that:  $\det(W, d, e) = \frac{\det(\bar{D}_{-1})}{\det(\bar{D}_0)}$

Cayley formula (next week)